

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/638,849	08/11/2003	James Xixian Wu	SP-1076.2 US	4449	
20875	7590 10/04/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	C. POPHAL BATTERY COMPANY	CANTELM	CANTELMO, GREGG		
25225 DETROIT ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P O BOX 450777			1745		
WESTLAKE	C, OH 44145		DATE MAILED: 10/04/200:	DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/638,849	WU, JAMES XIXIAI	N
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gregg Cantelmo	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliar time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of owing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in nce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing		. in the Englandanian	ish sussis letes. In
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	in the final rejection, while the strain is the final rejection.	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	r (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of eunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. extension and the corresponding amount e shortened statutory period for reply orige er than three months after the mailing da	t of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 16 September 2005. of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any rep AMENDMENTS	a)), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to avoid	dismissal of the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further c (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel	onsideration and/or search (see NC low);	OTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)		1: 4	(DTOL 224)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be set to be set		, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).		***	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pr The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:) [] will not be entered, or b) [] wovided below or appended.	ill be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		,	
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE. 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but the control of the control	out before or on the date of filing a N	Notice of Anneal will n	ot be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessation. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appears over and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		July 10 Dolott of audo	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	out does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

13.
Other: ___

My Cank

Gregg Cantelmo Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1745 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the prior art of Reidl teaches of a crimped structure and does not teach or suggest a non-crimped structure as defined in the instant claims. It should be noted that Reidl teaches that the structure can be crimped or bent (col. 5, II. 53-62), and therefore a bent structure, which is not a crimp, can provide the same arrangement. The instant claims while reciting a non-crimped arrangement do not preclude the presence of a bent arrangement as is alternatively taught by Reidl. Therefore the prior art teachings of Reidl are still held to teach the claimed invention and the claims, as they stand, lack sufficient structural differentiation over that of Reidl.